

The Single-Serving Channel Capacity

Renato Renner* Stefan Wolf† Jürg Wullschlegler†

*Centre for Quantum Computation, University of Cambridge, United Kingdom
E-mail: r.renner@damtp.cam.ac.uk

†Computer Science Department, ETH Zürich, Switzerland
E-mail: {wolf,wjuerg}@inf.ethz.ch

Abstract—In this paper we provide the answer to the following question: Given a noisy channel $P_{Y|X}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, how many bits can be transmitted with an error of at most ε by a single use of the channel?

I. INTRODUCTION

Shannon entropy and information [14] have been shown very significant in the scenario of i.i.d. distributions and asymptotic rates. Unfortunately, however, these two assumptions fail to be realistic in many real-world scenarios. First of all, a given primitive or random experiment is actually available only a limited number of times, and an asymptotic analysis has, therefore, a limited significance. Second, the assumption that a certain primitive is repeated *independently* many times is not always realistic. An important example is cryptography, where this assumption leads to a strong restriction on the adversary's behavior and possibilities.

In [6], the assumption of independence has been dropped, but the analysis still remains asymptotic. In the present paper, we drop *both* assumptions at once and consider the case where a certain information-theoretic primitive, such as a communication channel, or random experiment is available only *once*. This *single-serving* case has also been called "single shot" in the literature.

Let us first consider an example from cryptography or, more precisely, information-theoretic key agreement from correlated pieces of information. Let two parties, Alice and Bob, as well as an adversary, Eve, have access to n *independent realizations* of random variables X , Y , and Z , respectively, with joint probability distribution P_{XYZ} . Moreover, authenticated but public communication from Alice to Bob (but not in the other direction) is possible. Their goal is to generate a common secret key of length $\ell(n)$, i.e., a uniform string about which the adversary is virtually ignorant. Asymptotically, for large n , the *rate* at which such a key can be generated is given by

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ell(n)}{n} = \max_{YZ \leftrightarrow X \leftrightarrow UV} (H(U|ZV) - H(U|YV)) \quad (1)$$

(see, for instance, [17], [3], [1], [10]).

Let us now consider the *non-asymptotic* case where $n = 1$, i.e., the random experiment defined by P_{XYZ} is only run *once*. How many virtually secret bits can then be extracted? First of all, note that (1) fails to provide the correct answer in this case. To see this, assume, e.g., that X is uniformly distributed and that $Y = X$, whereas $Z = X$ holds with probability $1/2$ (and $Z = \Delta$ otherwise). Then the right-hand side of (1) is

non-zero, but no secret can be extracted at all by Alice and Bob since, with probability $1/2$, Eve knows everything. We conclude that Shannon entropy fails to be the right measure in this setting. But what does it have to be replaced by?

Results on *randomness extraction*, also known as *privacy amplification* [2], [9], [8], indicate that the right answer might be given by so-called *min-entropies* rather than Shannon entropies. Indeed, it is shown in [13] that the so-called *conditional smooth min- and max-entropies* [12], [13] H_{\max}^ε and H_{\min}^ε (for the precise definitions see below) replace Shannon entropy in this case; the achievable secret-key length ℓ is approximated (up to a term $\log(1/\varepsilon)$, where ε is the security of the final key) by

$$\ell \approx \max_{YZ \leftrightarrow X \leftrightarrow UV} (H_{\min}^\varepsilon(U|ZV) - H_{\max}^\varepsilon(U|YV)) .$$

It is the goal of this paper to show that smooth min- and max-entropy has a similar significance in communication theory, i.e., it can be used for the characterization of communication tasks in a single-serving setting. Among others, we consider the following question: Given a noisy communication channel $\mathcal{W} = P_{Y|X}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, what is the maximum number $C_{\text{comm}}^\varepsilon(\mathcal{W})$ of bits that can be transmitted with error at most ε by a *single use* of the channel. Recall that, in the i.i.d. case, i.e., if the channel can be used many times independently, an asymptotic answer to this question is given by the channel capacity $C_{\text{comm}}^{\text{asym}}$, which can be expressed by the well-known formula [14]

$$C_{\text{comm}}^{\text{asym}}(\mathcal{W}) = \max_{P_X} (H(X) - H(X|Y)) .$$

As we shall see, the answer for the single-serving case looks very similar, but the (conditional) Shannon entropies are replaced by smooth min- and max-entropies:

$$C_{\text{comm}}^\varepsilon(\mathcal{W}) \approx \max_{P_X} (H_{\min}^\varepsilon(X) - H_{\max}^\varepsilon(X|Y)) .$$

II. NOTATION AND PREVIOUS WORK

A. Smooth Min- and Max-Entropies

Let X be a random variable with probability distribution P_X . The *max-entropy* of X is defined as the binary logarithm of the size of the support of P_X , i.e.,

$$H_{\max}(X) = \log |\{x \in \mathcal{X} : P_X(x) > 0\}| .$$

Similarly, the *min-entropy* of X is given by the negative logarithm of the maximum probability of P_X , i.e.,

$$H_{\min}(X) = -\log(\max_x(P_X(x))) .$$

Note that $H_{\min}(X) \leq H(X) \leq H_{\max}(X)$, i.e., the min- and max-entropies are lower and upper bounds for the Shannon entropy (and also for any Rényi entropy of order $\alpha \in [0, \infty]$), respectively.

For random variables X and Y with joint distribution P_{XY} , the “conditional” versions of these entropic quantities are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\max}(X|Y) &= \max_y H_{\max}(X|Y=y) , \\ H_{\min}(X|Y) &= \min_y H_{\min}(X|Y=y) . \end{aligned}$$

In [13], max- and min-entropies have been generalized to so-called *smooth max- and min-entropies*. For any $\varepsilon \geq 0$, they are defined by optimizing the “non-smooth” quantities over all random variables \bar{X} and \bar{Y} which are equal to X and Y except with probability ε , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\max}^\varepsilon(X|Y) &= \min_{\bar{X}\bar{Y}: \Pr[\bar{X}\bar{Y} \neq XY] \leq \varepsilon} H_{\max}(\bar{X}|\bar{Y}) \\ H_{\min}^\varepsilon(X|Y) &= \max_{\bar{X}\bar{Y}: \Pr[\bar{X}\bar{Y} \neq XY] \leq \varepsilon} H_{\min}(\bar{X}|\bar{Y}) . \end{aligned}$$

Equivalently, smooth max- and min-entropies can be expressed in terms of a optimization over events \mathcal{E} that have probability at least $1 - \varepsilon$. Let $P_{X\mathcal{E}|Y=y}(x)$ be the probability that $X = x$ and the event \mathcal{E} occurs, conditioned on $Y = y$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\max}^\varepsilon(X|Y) &= \min_{\mathcal{E}: \Pr(\mathcal{E}) \geq 1-\varepsilon} \max_y \log |\{x : P_{X\mathcal{E}|Y=y}(x) > 0\}| \\ H_{\min}^\varepsilon(X|Y) &= \max_{\mathcal{E}: \Pr(\mathcal{E}) \geq 1-\varepsilon} \min_y \min_x (-\log P_{X\mathcal{E}|Y=y}(x)) . \end{aligned}$$

These smooth entropies have properties similar to Shannon entropy—this is in contrast the the usual, non-smooth min- and max-entropies which have many counterintuitive properties that make them less useful in many contexts. For example, the *chain rule* $H(X|Y) = H(XY) - H(Y)$ translates to [13]

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\max}^{\varepsilon+\varepsilon'}(XY) - H_{\max}^{\varepsilon'}(Y) &\leq H_{\max}^\varepsilon(X|Y) , \\ &\leq H_{\max}^{\varepsilon_1}(XY) - H_{\min}^{\varepsilon_2}(Y) + \log(1/(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\min}^{\varepsilon_1}(XY) - H_{\max}^{\varepsilon_2}(Y) - \log(1/(\varepsilon - \varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2)) \\ \leq H_{\min}^\varepsilon(X|Y) \leq H_{\min}^{\varepsilon+\varepsilon'}(XY) - H_{\min}^{\varepsilon'}(Y) . \end{aligned}$$

B. Operational Interpretation of Smooth Max- and Min-Entropies

In [15] it was shown that the rate at which many independent realizations of X can be compressed is asymptotically $H(X|Y)$ if the decoder is provided with side information Y . It is easy to see that $H(X|Y)$ also is the rate at which uniform randomness can be extracted from X , in such a way that it is independent of Y . In [13], it was shown that the smooth entropies H_{\max}^ε and H_{\min}^ε quantify compression and randomness extraction, respectively, in the single-serving case.

More precisely, let $H_{\text{comp}}^\varepsilon(X|Y)$ be the length of a bit string needed to store *one* instance of X such that X can later be recovered with an error of at most ε using this string and Y . This quantity is then roughly equal to H_{\max}^ε , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\max}^\varepsilon(X|Y) &\leq H_{\text{comp}}^\varepsilon(X|Y) \\ &\leq H_{\max}^{\varepsilon'}(X|Y) + \log(1/(\varepsilon - \varepsilon')) . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, let $H_{\text{ext}}^\varepsilon(X|Y)$ be the maximum length of a string that can be computed from X , such that this string is uniformly distributed and independent of Y , with an error of at most ε . We then have

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\min}^{\varepsilon'}(X|Y) - 2\log(1/(\varepsilon - \varepsilon')) \\ \leq H_{\text{ext}}^\varepsilon(X|Y) \leq H_{\min}^\varepsilon(X|Y) . \end{aligned}$$

C. Common Information

The *common information* is the rate at which uniform random bits can be extracted both from X^n and Y^n , which come from independent repeated realizations of the random experiment P_{XY} without communicating. It has been shown in [5] that the common information is equal to the maximum entropy of a common random variable that both players can compute. As in [4], [16], we will denote this random variable by $X \wedge Y$, i.e., the common information of X and Y is given by $H(X \wedge Y)$.

It is shown in [16] that the common information can be used to characterize the zero-error capacity $C_{0\text{-comm}}^{\text{asym}}(\mathcal{W})$ of a channel \mathcal{W} as follows:

$$C_{0\text{-comm}}^{\text{asym}}(\mathcal{W}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{P_{X^n}} \frac{1}{n} H(X^n \wedge Y^n) .$$

Note that the usual (asymptotic) channel capacity $C_{\text{comm}}^{\text{asym}}(\mathcal{W})$ of \mathcal{W} is given by a similar expression, where the common information is replaced by the mutual information, i.e.,

$$C_{\text{comm}}^{\text{asym}}(\mathcal{W}) = \max_{P_X} I(X; Y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{P_{X^n}} \frac{1}{n} I(X^n; Y^n) .$$

III. EXTRACTABLE COMMON RANDOMNESS

We denote by $C_{\text{ext}}^\varepsilon(X, Y)$ the maximum amount of uniform randomness that can be extracted from X and Y , without any communication, with an error of at most ε . Asymptotically, it follows from [5] that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{C_{\text{ext}}^\varepsilon(X^n, Y^n)}{n} = H(X \wedge Y) .$$

In the following, we analyze the quantity $C_{\text{ext}}^\varepsilon(X, Y)$ for the single-serving case. First, we will show that $C_{\text{ext}}^\varepsilon(X, Y)$ is characterized by the following quantity.

Definition 1:

$$C_{\min}^\varepsilon(X; Y) = \max_{\bar{X}\bar{Y}: \Pr[\bar{X}\bar{Y} \neq XY] \leq \varepsilon} H_{\min}(\bar{X} \wedge \bar{Y}) .$$

Theorem 1: For all random variables X and Y , and for all ε' and $\varepsilon > \varepsilon'$, we have

$$C_{\text{ext}}^\varepsilon(X; Y) \geq C_{\min}^{\varepsilon'}(X; Y) - 2\log(1/(\varepsilon - \varepsilon')) .$$

Proof: Let Alice and Bob have \bar{X} and \bar{Y} , respectively. They both can calculate $\bar{X} \wedge \bar{Y}$ and extract at least $H_{\min}(\bar{X} \wedge \bar{Y})$

$\bar{Y}) - 2\log(1/(\varepsilon - \varepsilon'))$ bits with an error of at most $\varepsilon - \varepsilon'$. Since $\Pr[\bar{X}\bar{Y} \neq XY] \leq \varepsilon'$, we get at most an additional error of ε' if they use X and Y instead of \bar{X} and \bar{Y} . The total error is, therefore, at most ε . ■

Theorem 2: For all random variables X and Y , and for all ε , we have

$$C_{\text{ext}}^\varepsilon(X; Y) \leq C_{\text{min}}^\varepsilon(X; Y).$$

Proof: Let us assume that Alice and Bob can extract more than $C_{\text{min}}^\varepsilon(X; Y)$ bits with an error at most ε . Therefore there exist functions f and g such that with probability $1 - \varepsilon$ both functions output the same uniform random string R of length bigger than $C_{\text{min}}^\varepsilon(X; Y)$, which means that there exist \bar{X}, \bar{Y} such that $\Pr[(\bar{X}, \bar{Y}) \neq (X, Y)] \leq \varepsilon$ and $f(\bar{X}) = g(\bar{Y}) = R$. As shown in Lemma 1 of [16], this implies that R can be computed from $\bar{X} \wedge \bar{Y}$, that is, there exists a function h such that $R = h(\bar{X} \wedge \bar{Y})$. The function h could thus be used to extract more than H_{min} bit from $\bar{X} \wedge \bar{Y}$, which is impossible. ■

In the following, we derive an upper bound on $C_{\text{min}}^\varepsilon(X; Y)$ in terms of smooth min- and max-entropies.

Lemma 1: For all random variables X and Y , and for all $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1$, and ε_2 , we have

$$C_{\text{min}}^\varepsilon(X; Y) \leq H_{\text{max}}^{\varepsilon_2}(X) - H_{\text{max}}^{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + 2\varepsilon}(X|Y) + \log(1/\varepsilon_1).$$

Proof: Let \bar{X} and \bar{Y} be the random variables that maximize $C_{\text{min}}^\varepsilon(X; Y)$, and let $C = \bar{X} \wedge \bar{Y}$. We have

$$H_{\text{min}}(C) \leq H_{\text{max}}^\varepsilon(XC) - H_{\text{max}}^{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}(X|C) + \log(1/\varepsilon_1).$$

C is a function of X and of Y with probability at least $1 - \varepsilon$. Therefore, we can bound

$$H_{\text{max}}^{\varepsilon_2}(XC) \leq H_{\text{max}}^{\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon}(X)$$

and

$$H_{\text{max}}^{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2}(X|C) \geq H_{\text{max}}^{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon}(X|Y).$$

We get

$$H_{\text{min}}(C) \leq H_{\text{max}}^{\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon}(X) - H_{\text{max}}^{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon}(X|Y) + \log(1/\varepsilon_1).$$

The statement follows when ε is added to ε_2 . ■

No non-trivial lower bound is known so far for $C_{\text{ext}}^\varepsilon(X, Y)$. However, one can bound $\max_{P_X} C_{\text{min}}^\varepsilon(X; Y)$. This will turn out to be useful for the considerations in the next section.

Lemma 2: For all conditional distributions $P_{Y|X}$ and for all $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2$, and ε_3 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{P_X} C_{\text{min}}^{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3}(X; Y) \\ \geq \max_{P_X} (H_{\text{min}}^{\varepsilon_1}(X) - H_{\text{max}}^{\varepsilon_2}(X|Y)) - \log(1/\varepsilon_3). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Let P_X be the distribution that maximizes $H_{\text{min}}^{\varepsilon_1}(X) - H_{\text{max}}^{\varepsilon_2}(X|Y)$. There exist random variables \bar{X} and \bar{Y} with $\Pr[\bar{X}\bar{Y} \neq XY] \leq \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$ such that $H_{\text{min}}(\bar{X}) - H_{\text{max}}(\bar{X}|\bar{Y}) = H_{\text{min}}^{\varepsilon_1}(X) - H_{\text{max}}^{\varepsilon_2}(X|Y)$. We choose, independently and according to the distribution $P_{\bar{X}}, 2^{H_{\text{min}}(\bar{X}) - H_{\text{max}}(\bar{X}|\bar{Y}) - \log(1/\varepsilon_3)}$ values. Let S be the set of these values and let \tilde{X} be a random variable that takes on a value in S with equal probability. Since $P_{\bar{X}}(x) \cdot 2^{H_{\text{min}}(\bar{X})} \leq 1$, the

probability that a value x chosen according to $P_{\bar{X}}$ is in S is at most

$$P_{\bar{X}}(x) \cdot 2^{H_{\text{min}}(\bar{X}) - H_{\text{max}}(\bar{X}|\bar{Y}) - \log(1/\varepsilon_3)} \leq 2^{-H_{\text{max}}(\bar{X}|\bar{Y})} \varepsilon_3.$$

Let \tilde{x} and \tilde{y} be chosen according to the distribution $P_{\bar{X}}P_{\bar{Y}|\bar{X}}$. The probability that there exists a value $\tilde{x}' \in S$ such that $\tilde{x}' \neq \tilde{x}$ and $P_{\bar{Y}|\bar{X}}(\tilde{y}, \tilde{x}') > 0$ is at most $2^{H_{\text{max}}(\bar{X}|\bar{Y})} 2^{-H_{\text{max}}(\bar{X}|\bar{Y})} \varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_3$. Therefore, there exists a function f such that $\Pr[\tilde{X} \neq f(\tilde{Y})] \leq \varepsilon_3$ holds, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\text{min}}^{\varepsilon_3}(\bar{X}; \bar{Y}) &= H_{\text{min}}(\tilde{X}) \\ &= H_{\text{min}}^{\varepsilon_1}(X) - H_{\text{max}}^{\varepsilon_2}(X|Y) - \log(1/\varepsilon_3). \end{aligned}$$

The statement now follows from the fact that

$$C_{\text{min}}^{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3}(X; Y) \geq C_{\text{min}}^{\varepsilon_3}(\bar{X}; \bar{Y}).$$

■

IV. COMMUNICATION

Let us now come back to the question posed in the abstract. We define the ε *single-serving channel capacity* of a channel $\mathcal{W} = P_{Y|X}$, denoted $C_{\text{comm}}^\varepsilon(\mathcal{W})$, as the maximum number of bits (i.e., the logarithm of the number of symbols) that can be transmitted in a *single use* of \mathcal{W} , such that every symbol can be decoded by an error of at most ε . Theorem 3 shows the connection between the extractable common randomness and single-serving channel capacity, similar to the connection between the common information and the zero-error capacity shown in [16].

Theorem 3: For all channels $\mathcal{W} = P_{Y|X}$ and for $\varepsilon' < \varepsilon$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{P_X} C_{\text{min}}^{\varepsilon'}(X; Y) - \log(\varepsilon/(\varepsilon - \varepsilon')) \\ \leq C_{\text{comm}}^\varepsilon(\mathcal{W}) \leq \max_{P_X} C_{\text{min}}^\varepsilon(X; Y). \end{aligned}$$

Proof: Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{X}$ be a code that can be decoded with an error of at most ε and let X be uniformly distributed over \mathcal{C} . Then there exists a \bar{Y} with $\Pr[\bar{Y} = Y] \geq 1 - \varepsilon$, such that $X = X \wedge \bar{Y}$. It follows that

$$\max_{P_X} C_{\text{min}}^\varepsilon(X; Y) \geq C_{\text{comm}}^\varepsilon(\mathcal{W}).$$

Let P_X be a distribution that maximizes $\max_{P_X} C_{\text{min}}^{\varepsilon'}(X; Y)$, and let \bar{X}, \bar{Y} be random variables for which $H(\bar{X} \wedge \bar{Y}) = C_{\text{min}}^{\varepsilon'}(X; Y)$ holds as well as $\Pr[\bar{X}\bar{Y} = XY] \geq 1 - \varepsilon'$. Let $C := \bar{X} \wedge \bar{Y}$. We can write C as a combination of uniform random variables C_i , with $H_{\text{min}}(C_i) = H_{\text{min}}(C)$. More precisely, we have $P_C = \sum_i \lambda_i P_{C_i}$, where $P_{C_i}(x) \in \{0, 2^{-H_{\text{min}}(C)}\}$ for all x . The support of the random variable C_i which minimizes the error probability defines a code $\mathcal{C}_i \subset \mathcal{X}$ that can be decoded with an error of at most ε , if the input is uniformly distributed. Since we need a code that works for *any* input distribution, we delete all symbols which get decoded with an error bigger than $\varepsilon > \varepsilon'$. From the Markov inequality follows that the reduced code still contains at least $\frac{\varepsilon - \varepsilon'}{\varepsilon} 2^{H_{\text{min}}(C)}$ symbols. It follows that

$$C_{\text{comm}}^\varepsilon(\mathcal{W}) \geq \max_{P_X} C_{\text{min}}^{\varepsilon'}(X; Y) - \log(\varepsilon/(\varepsilon - \varepsilon')).$$

From Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{P_X} C_{\min}^{\varepsilon}(X; Y) \\ \leq \max_{P_X} (H_{\max}^{\varepsilon_2}(X) - H_{\max}^{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + 2\varepsilon}(X|Y)) + \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}. \end{aligned}$$

From the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3 follows that $\max_{P_X} C_{\min}^{\varepsilon}(X; Y)$ is maximized by a distribution where all x with positive probability have equal probabilities. Therefore, we have $H_{\max}^{\varepsilon}(X) = H_{\min}^{\varepsilon}(X)$ and get

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{P_X} (H_{\min}^{\varepsilon'}(X) - H_{\max}^{\varepsilon''}(X|Y)) - \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon' - \varepsilon''} \\ \leq \max_{P_X} C_{\min}^{\varepsilon}(X; Y) \\ \leq \max_{P_X} (H_{\min}^{\varepsilon_2}(X) - H_{\max}^{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + 2\varepsilon}(X|Y)) + \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Together with Theorem 3, this implies the following bound on the single-serving channel capacity $C_{\text{comm}}^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{W})$.

Theorem 4: For all channels $\mathcal{W} = P_{Y|X}$ and all $\varepsilon', \varepsilon'', \varepsilon > \varepsilon' + \varepsilon'', \varepsilon_1$, and ε_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{P_X} (H_{\min}^{\varepsilon'}(X) - H_{\max}^{\varepsilon''}(X|Y)) - \log \frac{4\varepsilon}{(\varepsilon - \varepsilon' - \varepsilon'')^2} \\ \leq C_{\text{comm}}^{\varepsilon}(\mathcal{W}) \\ \leq \max_{P_X} (H_{\min}^{\varepsilon_2}(X) - H_{\max}^{\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + 2\varepsilon}(X|Y)) + \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon_1}. \end{aligned}$$

V. CONCLUSIONS

Shannon entropy can be used to characterize a variety of information-processing tasks such as communication over noisy channels in the scenario where the primitive can be used independently many times. We have shown that smooth min- and max-entropies play a similar role in the more general single-serving case. In particular, we have given an explicit expression for the “single-serving channel capacity.” We suggest as an open problem to find other such examples and contexts.

The notion of conditional smooth entropies has recently been generalized to quantum information theory [11] (see also [7] for the non-conditional case). It is likely (but still unproven) that, similarly to our classical Theorem 4, these quantities can be used to characterize single-serving capacities of quantum channels.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF) and Hewlett Packard Research Labs.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Ahlswede and I. Csiszár. Common randomness in information theory and cryptography – part I: Secret sharing. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 39(4):1121–1132, 1993.
- [2] C. H. Bennett, G. Brassard, and J.-M. Robert. Privacy amplification by public discussion. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 17(2):210–229, 1988.
- [3] I. Csiszar and J. Körner. Broadcast channels with confidential messages. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 24(3):339–348, 1978.
- [4] M. Fitzi, S. Wolf, and J. Wullschlegler. Pseudo-signatures, broadcast, and multi-party computation from correlated randomness. In *Advances in Cryptology—CRYPTO '04*. Springer-Verlag, 2004.
- [5] P. Gacs and J. Körner. Common information is far less than mutual information. *Probl. Contr. Inform. Theory*, 2:149–162, 1973.
- [6] T. S. Han, S. Verdú. Approximation Theory of Output Statistics. *IEEE Trans. on Information Theory*, vol. IT-39, no. 3, pp. 752–772, 1993.
- [7] P. Hayden and A. Winter. On the communication cost of entanglement transformations. *Phys. Rev. A*, 67:012326, 2003.
- [8] J. Hastad, R. Impagliazzo, L. A. Levin, and M. Luby. A pseudorandom generator from any one-way function. *SIAM J. Comput.*, 28(4):1364–1396, 1999.
- [9] R. Impagliazzo, L. A. Levin, and M. Luby. Pseudo-random generation from one-way functions. In *Proceedings of the 21st Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (STOC '89)*, pages 12–24. ACM Press, 1989.
- [10] U. Maurer. Secret key agreement by public discussion. *IEEE Transaction on Information Theory*, 39(3):733–742, May 1993.
- [11] R. Renner. *Security of QKD*. PhD thesis, ETH, 2005, quant-ph/0512258.
- [12] R. Renner and S. Wolf. Smooth Rényi entropy and applications. In *Proceedings of 2004 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory*, page 233. IEEE, June 2004.
- [13] R. Renner and S. Wolf. Simple and tight bounds for information reconciliation and privacy amplification. In *Advances in Cryptology—ASIACRYPT 2005*, volume 3788, pages 199–216. Springer-Verlag, December 2005.
- [14] C. E. Shannon. A mathematical theory of communication. *Bell System Tech. Journal*, 27:379–423, 623–656, 1948.
- [15] D. Slepian and J. K. Wolf. Noiseless coding of correlated information sources. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, IT-19:471–480, 1973.
- [16] S. Wolf and J. Wullschlegler. Zero-error information and applications in cryptography. In *Proceedings of 2004 IEEE Information Theory Workshop (ITW 2004)*, 2004.
- [17] A. D. Wyner. The wiretap channel. *Bell System Tech. Journal*, 54:1355–1387, 1975.